position simply because it has been the result of many hours, this kind of foolish consistency will quickly become a hobgoblin for us.

I think that the proposal, 40-120, therefore, is an intellectually respectable thing to do.

The second thing I think which we must keep in our minds is this: That everything we do and the way we do it must also be morally demanding. I think that in all due respect to the theorists, whatever we may say about the future, we must also deal with the present; and we must to some degree keep in mind these small counties of the hinterlands, and I think that 40-120 is the most morally demanding thing that we could do.

I think, thirdly, that what we do and the way we do it must finally be spiritually and socially correct.

I think that to set the limit at 40 and 120 allows for the maximum kind of creativity in the General Assembly.

I would like to submit that there is nothing more fickle than the spirit of the times, because we do not know what tomorrow will bring. I rise, hoping that we can defeat the amendment to the amendment, that we